

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,178	12/23/2003	Li-Ming Cheng	12,569	7280
7	590 12/28/2005		EXAMINER	
William W. Haefliger			JOHNSON, BLAIR M	
Suite 512 201 So. Lake Ave.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Pasadena, CA 91101			3634	

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/743,178	CHENG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Blair M. Johnson	3634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was pailing to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim viil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Oc	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 October 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	is action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-23,25,27,28,30-37 and 40-49 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-23,25,27,28,30-37 and 40-49 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed and all accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomp	epted or b) objected to by the fidal drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Art Unit: 3634

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1,2,4-23,25,27,28,30-37 and 40-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As mentioned before, there are several sources of ambiguity in the claims. The phrase "shade of blind", appearing in the preamble of several claims, is ambiguous since it represents two different types of window coverings. The term "window coverings" would cover both embodiments and would be adequate. The term secondary "line or lines" is used interchangeably with secondary "line", which is vague. In claim 8, "the other primary line" is ambiguous. In claim 28, "between 2 and 3" is ambiguous since there is no whole number between 2 and 3. In claim 40, paragraph f), "one primary line" does not indicate that the primary lines have already been recited, ie. "one of said primary lines".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1,2,4-23,25,27,28,30-37 and 40-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gertzon in view of Kuhar ' 100.

Gertzon discloses an upper channel 10 and a lower member, either the lowest slat or a well known bottom rail, suspended by primary lines 33 and secondary line 17, four pulleys 34,35, over which the cords traverse, as well as numerous guides, unnumbered, that guide the cords. The location of the connection between lines 33 and line 17, as well as the movement of the connection over pulleys, is clearly an obvious design modification based on headrail size, blind length, number of pulleys, etc. What is not shown is the dual rotors and spring retraction system. However, such is well known in the art, as illustrated by Kuhar. It would have been obvious to modify Gertzon by replacing the hanging portion of the manual, exposed, pull cord end 17 with the retraction means taught by Kuhar so as to create a balanced system as well as to remove the danger inherent in the hanging cord 17.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The terminal disclaimer has been entered, thereby obviating the double patenting rejection. Gertzon, used alone, has been removed in favor of Gertzon in view of Kuhar to reject the claims. This rejection has not been argued.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blair M. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-6830. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (571) 272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Blair M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634 Page 4

BMJ 12/20/05